Serial No. 10/814,549 Reply to Office Action of October 5, 2006 Page 8 of 8

REMARKS

Claims 9, 10, 13, 15, 20, 21 and 29 have been objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but have been indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Accordingly claims 9, 15, 21, and 29 have been cast in independent form to be allowable as indicated. Claims 2-6, 8, 10-13, 17, 18, 22, and 30 have been made dependent on one of these allowable claims. The remaining claims 1, 7, 14, 19, and 23-28 have been canceled.

In light of these amendments it is believed that claims 2-6, 8-13, 17, 18, 20-22 and 29, 30 are now in condition for allowance and allowance is respectfully requested.

It is believed that this amendment after final may be accepted under 37 CFR 1.116(b)(1) as an amendment made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form and expressly set forth in a previous Office Action.

Authorization is given to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment in connection with this or any future communication to Deposit Account No. 50-1170.

Respectfully submitted,

PAVEL TICHY, et al.

Keith M. Baxter Reg. No. 31,233

Attorney for Applicant Boyle Fredrickson Newholm

Stein & Gratz, S.C.

250 E. Wisconsin Avenue, #1030 Milwaukee WI 53202

(414) 225-9755

8

{00118664.DOC /}